



11401 Century Oaks Terrace
Building H, Suite 250
Austin, Texas 78758
T: 512-439-5080
F: 512-439-5099

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

To:	Examiner MICHAEL PHAM	From:	AGAPITO SUSTAITA
Fax:	571-273-3924	Date:	05 December 2008
Phone:	571-272-3924	Pages:	2 (including cover sheet)
Re:	Proposed Agenda for Examiner Interview For Patent Application No.: 10/726,338		

Message:

The following proposed agenda for an Examiner interview is being sent as per the request of Examiner Michael Pham.

We understand that once given the included proposed agenda, the Examiner will be contacting us early next week with a proposed time and data for a teleconference meeting.

Should any additional information be required before a meeting can be scheduled, the Examiner is invited to contact one of the attorneys for the Applicants, either Agapito Sustaita at 512-439-5085, or Jonathan Geld at 512-439-5090.

Thank you,
Agapito Sustaita

If you do not receive all pages, please call (512) 439-5080

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENT(S) NAMED ABOVE. THIS MESSAGE MAY BE AN ATTORNEY-CLIENT COMMUNICATION, AND AS SUCH IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR AN AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS DOCUMENT IN ERROR AND THAT ANY REVIEW, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS MESSAGE IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE NOTIFY US IMMEDIATELY BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US BY MAIL. THANK YOU.

Proposed Agenda for Examiner Interview

Applicants:	Kazi A. Zaman, Shimin Song, Edward Suen		
Attorneys for Applicants:	Jonathan N. Geld, 512-439-5090		
Assignee:	Agapito Sustaita, 512-439-5085		
Title:	Siebel Systems, Inc.		
	Modeling Multidimensional Data Sources		
Serial No.:	10/726,338	Filing Date:	December 1, 2003
Examiner:	Michael Pham	Group Art Unit:	2167
Docket No.:	OIC0185US	Confirmation No.	5478

I. PURPOSE

- a. Understanding the Examiner's objections in order to properly address the objections and move prosecution forward.

II. OFFICE ACTION DISCUSSION REGARDING GREENFIELD

- a. A relational database is queried with an SQL query.
- b. A relational database is two dimensional, and therefore multidimensional.
- c. Therefore, the SQL query is effectively a multidimensional query.

III. APPLICANTS' PERSPECTIVE

- a. Relational database tables are two dimensional (rows & columns).
- b. The claimed multidimensional database comprises more than two dimensions.

IV. PROPOSED SOLUTION

- a. PROPOSED AMENDMENT
 - i. Discuss possibility of an amendment that distinguishes between the claimed multidimensional database and the cited relational database.
- b. SUPPORT IN THE APPLICATION FOR THE PROPOSAL:
 - i. General understanding within the field of art regarding the difference between these types of databases.
 - ii. Original Specification: Paragraph [0003]: "MD databases use the idea of a data cube to represent different dimensions of data available to a user."
 - iii. Original Specification: Paragraphs [0040]-[0044]: Constructing the relational model from cubes.